



April 2, 2019

Mr. John Andrews, Economic Development Director
City of Ontario
303 East B Street
Ontario, CA 91764

Dear Mr. Andrews:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Ontario Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 22, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 143 – 2005 MedCal Sales Location Agreement, total outstanding obligation amount of \$133,539, is not allowed. The Agency requests \$133,539 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF); the Agency claims the previous prior period adjustment was overstated, resulting in a shortage for this item. However, it is our understanding this obligation has been paid in full and no further funding obligation exists. Additionally, the Agency was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the amount still due. To the extent the Agency can provide suitable documentation, such as vendor invoices, to support the requested amount, the item may be considered on a future ROPS. Therefore, the requested amount of \$133,539 from RPTTF is not allowed.
- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 3 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

Mr. John Andrews
April 2, 2019
Page 2

If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items that are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/>

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$12,232,110 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 3 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Jackson, Supervisor, or Cole Chev, Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Charity Hernandez, Redevelopment Manager, City of Ontario
Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution			
For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020			
	ROPS A Period	ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 8,765,477	\$ 3,229,797	\$ 11,995,274
Administrative RPTTF Requested	250,000	155,878	405,878
Total RPTTF Requested	9,015,477	3,385,675	12,401,152
RPTTF Requested	8,765,477	3,229,797	11,995,274
<u>Adjustment</u>			
Item No. 143	(133,539)	0	(133,539)
RPTTF Authorized	8,631,938	3,229,797	11,861,735
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	250,000	155,878	405,878
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations	8,881,938	3,385,675	12,267,613
Prior Period Adjustment	(35,503)	0	(35,503)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 8,846,435	\$ 3,385,675	\$ 12,232,110