



September 11, 2014

Ms. Sylvia Miledi, Accountant
City of Needles
817 Third Street
Needles, CA 92363

Dear Ms. Miledi:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) original Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) Due Diligence Review (DDR) determination letter dated August 7, 2014. The City of Needles Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved LMIHF DDR to Finance on October 23, 2012. However, Finance was unable to complete the review because the report did not meet the minimum requirements of the DDR pursuant to HSC section 34179.5. The Agency subsequently submitted the amended DDR to Finance on May 23, 2014.

The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Since the Agency did not meet the October 15, 2012 submittal deadline pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (c), Finance is not bound to completing its review and making a determination by the November 9, 2012 deadline pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d). Finance issued a LMIHF DDR determination letter on August 7, 2014. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more items adjusted by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on August 20, 2014.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of LMIHF available for distribution to the taxing entities. Finance has completed its review of the specific item being disputed. Specifically, the following adjustment was made:

- The Agency's request to retain cash in the amount of \$285,690 to satisfy enforceable obligations was not allowed. Finance continues to object to the retention of funds. The Agency contended the funds are needed for the repayment of the 1992 Series A Tax Allocation Bonds, a Note Payable to the City of Needles (City) for land, and a Note Payable to the City for other purposes. However, the Agency has requested funding from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund for these items on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule, not the LMIHF.

Additionally, during the Meet and Confer process, the Agency did not provide any additional documents that demonstrate a requirement to retain funds from the LMIHF for enforceable obligations. Therefore, Finance continues to increase the amount available for distribution by \$285,690.

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$285,690 (see table below).

LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities	
Available Balance per DDR:	0
Finance Adjustments	
Add:	
Requested retained balance not allowed	\$ 285,690
Total LMIHF available to be distributed:	\$ 285,690

HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient. Upon submission of payment, please provide proof of payment to Finance within five business days.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC section 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated December 14, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Ms. Sylvia Miledi
September 11, 2014
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Sues, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Mary Halterman, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Bonnie Luttrell, Director of Finance, City of Needles
Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County
California State Controller's Office