

915 L STREET # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-3706 # WWW.DDF.CA.GOV

January 2, 2013

Mr. Curtis Yakimow, Director of Administrative Services Town of Yucca Valley 57090 29 Palma Highway Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Dear Mr. Yakimow:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

This letter supersedes Finance's original Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) Due Diligence Review (DDR) determination letter dated December 2, 2012. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the Town of Yucca Valley Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved LMIHF DDR to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on November 6, 2012. Finance issued a LMIHF DDR determination letter on November 30, 2012. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more items adjusted by Finance. The Meet and Confer Session was held on December 19, 2012.

Based on a review of additional or clarifying information provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance continues to believe the adjustments made to the DDR's stated balance of LMIHF available for distribution to the taxing entities is appropriate. HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to make these adjustments. We maintain the adjustments continue to be necessary for the following reason:

Funds to satisfy the General Plan Update Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Portion and National CORE Low/Mod Housing Projects totaling \$576,353 were denied by Finance in our letter dated October 15, 2012 as items included in the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period January through December 2013. Further, based on the December 18, 2012 ROPS final determination letter, Finance continues to object to these items. HSC 34163 (b) prohibits a RDA) from entering into a contract with any entity after June 27, 2011. The contracts for these projects were signed on September 15, 2011, and March 20, 2012, respectively. Furthermore, the former RDA was not a party to the National CORE project.

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities continues to be \$576,353 (see table below).

LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities			
Available Balance per DDR:		\$	
Finance Adjustments			
Add:			
Denied ROPS items		\$	576,353
	Total LMIHF available to be distributed:	\$	576,353

Mr. Curtis Yakimow January 2, 2013 Page 2

This is Finance's final determination of the LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities. HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former RDA and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated August 31, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

STEVE SZALAY

Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Mark Nuaimi, Town Manager, Town of Yucca Valley
Ms. Vanessa Doyle, Auditor Controller Manager, San Bernardino County
California State Controller's Office