



Transmitted via e-mail

April 7, 2021

Sophie L. Smith, Deputy City Manager  
City of Victorville  
14343 Civic Drive  
Victorville, CA 92392

### **2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Victorville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 25, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- On the ROPS 21-22 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$3,609,523 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 21-22. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding. The items listed in the Funding Reclassification table (see Attachment B) do not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for these items have been reclassified in the amounts as specified.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 18-19 period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency. Total authorized RPTTF is insufficient to allow the entire PPA to be applied this ROPS period, resulting in an excess PPA that should be applied prior to requesting RPTTF on future ROPS.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$0, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment A).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

[http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet\\_And\\_Confer/](http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/)

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Sophie L. Smith  
April 7, 2021  
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Anna Kyumba, Supervisor, or Daisy Rose, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Cheryl R. Malcolm". The signature is fluid and cursive.A small, stylized handwritten signature in blue ink, likely belonging to Jennifer Whitaker.

JENNIFER WHITAKER  
Program Budget Manager

cc: Keith C. Metzler, City Manager, City of Victorville  
Linda Santillano, Chief Deputy, Property Tax, San Bernardino County

**Attachment A**

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022</b>			
	<b>ROPS A</b>	<b>ROPS B</b>	<b>Total</b>
RPTTF Requested	\$ 2,513,291	\$ 978,566	\$ 3,491,857
Administrative RPTTF Requested	100,000	89,228	189,228
<b>Total RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>2,613,291</b>	<b>1,067,794</b>	<b>3,681,085</b>
<b>RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>2,513,291</b>	<b>978,566</b>	<b>3,491,857</b>
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 1	(2,288,204)	(825,291)	(3,113,495)
Item No. 2	(8,625)	(2,875)	(11,500)
Item No. 3	(2,400)	(12,600)	(15,000)
Item No. 4	(125,000)	(125,000)	(250,000)
Item No. 33	(7,500)	(7,500)	(15,000)
Item No. 34	0	(300)	(300)
Item No. 35	0	(5,000)	(5,000)
Item No. 49	(10,000)	0	(10,000)
	(2,441,729)	(978,566)	(3,420,295)
<b>RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>71,562</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71,562</b>
<b>Administrative RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>89,228</b>	<b>189,228</b>
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 55	(100,000)	(89,228)	(189,228)
<b>Adjusted Administrative RPTTF</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Administrative RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(105,572)	0	(105,572)
Excess PPA	34,010	0	34,010
<b>Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**Attachment B**

**Funding Reclassification**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Name/Project Name</b>	<b>Total Funding Approved</b>	<b>RPTTF Approved</b>	<b>Other Funds Approved</b>
1	Victorville Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Series 2002A, 2003A, 2003B, 2006A Tax Allocation Bonds	\$3,185,057	\$71,562	\$3,113,495
2	Victorville RDA Series 2002A, 2003A, 2003B, 2006A Tax Allocation Bonds Fiscal Agents Fee	11,500	0	11,500
3	Victorville RDA Series 2002A, 2003A, 2003B, 2006A Tax Allocation Bonds Continuing Disclosure Report	15,000	0	15,000
4	Northgate Apartments	250,000	0	250,000
33	Project Legal Costs	15,000	0	15,000
34	Special Assessments on Successor Agency (SA) Properties	300	0	300
35	Victorville RDA Series 2002A, 2003A, 2003B, 2006A Tax Allocation Bonds Arbitrage Fees	5,000	0	5,000
49	SA Contract Services	10,000	0	10,000
55	Administrative Costs	189,228	0	189,228
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$3,681,085</b>	<b>\$ 71,562</b>	<b>\$3,609,523</b>