



April 11, 2013

Mr. Curtis Yakimow, Director of Administrative Services  
City of Yucca Valley  
27090 29 Palms Highway  
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Dear Mr. Yakimow:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Yucca Valley Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 25, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14A at this time; however we reclassified the following:

Item Nos. 5 and 12 totaling \$7,500 are considered general administrative costs and have been reclassified. Although this reclassification increased administrative costs to \$132,500, the administrative cost allowance has not been exceeded.

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$375,549 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of July through December 2013</b>	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 288,500
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 5*	5,000
Item 12*	2,500
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 281,000
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	132,500
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	(37,951)
<b>Total RPTTF approved:</b>	<b>\$ 375,549</b>

\*Reclassified as administrative cost

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies

that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14A%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STÉVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Mark Nuaimi, Town Manager, City of Yucca Valley  
Ms. Vanessa Doyle, Auditor Controller Manager, County of San Bernardino  
California State Controller's Office