



April 14, 2015

Mr. Keith C. Metzler, Assistant City Manager
City of Victorville
14343 Civic Drive
Victorville, CA 92392

Dear Mr. Metzler:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Victorville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2015 for the period of July 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 46 – Fannie Mae Grant Funds Reimbursement in the amount of \$30,985. It is our understanding this item relates to a purported error with regards to the Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) Due Diligence Review (DDR). Finance has issued its final determination with regards to the LMIHF DDR. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF).

In addition, Finance noted the following during our review:

- On the ROPS 14-15A Prior Period Adjustment worksheet, the Agency's expenditures exceeded Finance's authorization for the following items:
 - Other Funding totaling \$2,232 – Item No. 10, \$387 and Item No. 13, \$1,845.

Per HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on the ROPS may be made by the Agency from the funds specified on the ROPS. However, these items were determined to be enforceable obligations for the ROPS 15-16A period. Therefore, Finance is increasing the Agency's authorization for the ROPS 15-16A period to ensure that authorization is consistent with expenditures for the approved enforceable obligations. As these Other Funds were previously expended, the increase in authorization should not result in increased expenditures for the current ROPS period, but should merely allow the Agency to reconcile actual expenditures to the authorization.

HSC sections 34177 (a) (4) and 34173 (h) provide mechanisms when Agency payments must exceed the amounts authorized by Finance. Please ensure the proper expenditure authority is received from your Oversight Board and Finance prior to making payments on enforceable obligations.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$7,573,663 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	8,439,356
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	246,255
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 8,685,611
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	8,439,356
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 46	(30,985)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 8,408,371
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	246,255
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 246,255
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 8,654,626
Total ROPS 14-15A PPA	(1,080,963)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 7,573,663

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 15-16A review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency. The Agency was able to support the amounts reported except the beginning cash balance, as of June 30, 2014 was reported as \$335,216. However, the Agency should have \$1,098,530 cash, as of June 30, 2014 due to unexpended RPTTF funding from the January through June 2014 ROPS period (ROPS 13-14B). The Agency has stated that the discrepancy is due to inappropriate determinations with regards to the Agency's DDR reviews. Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 15-16A review period to resolve any

remaining issues as described above. If it is determined the Agency possesses additional cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16B.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Lesyenia Marin, Management Specialist, City of Victorville
Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County
California State Controller's Office