



October 8, 2012

Ms. Sophie Escobar, Assistant Director of Economic Development
City of Victorville
14343 Civic Drive
Victorville, CA 92392

Dear Ms. Escobar:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the Victorville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS III) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on August 26, 2012 for the period of January through June 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS III, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligation:

Item No. 6 – Owner Participation Agreement with Church & Dwight Co., Inc. in the amount of \$1.8 million. HSC section 34163(b) prohibits a redevelopment agency from entering into a contract with any entity after June 27, 2011. Because the contract with Church & Dwight Co., Inc. was signed on June 28, 2011, this item is not an enforceable obligation and not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund funding.

Furthermore, the following items were reclassified as administrative costs:

- Item Nos. 7 and 8 – Wilson & Company rail inspection contracts totaling \$70,356.
- Item No. 9 – Mayer, Hoffman, McCann audit services contract in the amount of \$15,000.

Although this reclassification increased administrative costs to \$236,525, the administrative cost allowance has not been exceeded for the 2012-13 fiscal year.

Except for item denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligation as noted above, Finance is approving the remaining items listed in your ROPS III. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS III, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$2,569,219 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
For the period of January through June 2013	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 2,562,872
Less: Six-month total for item(s) denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 6	180,000
Item 7*	5,178
Item 8*	30,000
Item 9*	15,000
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 2,332,694
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS III	236,525
Total RPTTF approved:	\$ 2,569,219

* Reclassified as administrative cost

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS III form the estimated obligations and actual payments associated with the January through June 2012 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table will be adjusted by the county auditor-controller to account for differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Additionally, these estimates and accounts are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller and the State Controller.

Please refer to the ROPS III schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS III Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%20III%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review. An item included on a future ROPS may be denied even if it was not questioned from the preceding ROPS.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,


STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Keith Metzler, Assistant City Manager, City of Victorville
Ms. Vanessa Doyle, Auditor Controller Manager, San Bernardino County