#### RESOLUTION NO. OB 2016-052

# A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF REDLANDS APPROVING A RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR JULY 1, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2017

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(l)(2)(A) the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands (the "Successor Agency") has prepared a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017 (the "ROPS"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(l)(2)(B) the ROPS shall be submitted to and duly approved by the Oversight Board; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(l)(2)(B) the Successor Agency has submitted a copy of the ROPS to the county administrative officer, the county auditor-controller, and the Department of Finance at the same time that the Successor Agency submits the ROPS to the Oversight Board for approval;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands as follows:

- <u>Section 1.</u> The Oversight Board hereby approves and adopts the ROPS, in substantially the form attached to this Resolution as Exhibit "A," pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177.
- Section 2. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (1)(2)(C), the Oversight Board hereby directs the Successor Agency to submit copies of the ROPS approved by the Oversight Board to the County of San Bernardino Auditor-Controller, the State of California Controller and the State of California Department of Finance and to post the ROPS on the Successor Agency's website.
- Section 3. The Secretary for the Oversight Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.
- <u>Section 4.</u> Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 34179, all actions taken by the Oversight Board may be reviewed by the State of California Department of Finance, and, therefore, this Resolution shall not be effective for five (5) business days, pending a request for review by the State of California Department of Finance.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED at an adjourned regular meeting of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands held this 21<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2016 by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

aul W. Foster, Chairperson

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency

ATTEST:

Linda McCasland

Oversight Board Secretary

#### EXHIBIT "A"

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17)

#### Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 Period

Successor Agency: Redlands
County: San Bernardino

Curre	nt Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	1	6-17A Total	16	R 5-17B Total	OPS 16-17 Total
A	Enforceable Obligations Funded with Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding Sources (B+C+D):	\$	3,245,125		- \$	3,245,125
В	Bond Proceeds Funding					
С	Reserve Balance Funding		3,230,273			3,230,273
D	Other Funding		14,852			14,852
E	Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):	\$	362,584	\$	3,799,770 \$	4,162,354
F	Non-Administrative Costs		237,584		3,674,770	3,912,354
G	Administrative Costs		125,000		125,000	250,000
Н	Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$	3,607,709	\$	3,799,770 \$	7,407,479

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Name

Title

Signature

Date

#### Redlands Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - ROPS Detail

#### July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

			I		1		1	(поро	T Amount	s iii vviiole Dolla	.0)	T		ı	1			1	1		Т	
	-		_	_	_	_			.						_	_	_		_		,,	
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	s	Т Т	U	V	W
													16-17A						16-17B			
											Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF) RPTTF					Non-Redeve	lopment Property (Non-RPTTF)	Tax Trust Fund	RPTTF			
			Contract/Agreement	Contract/Agreement				Total Outstanding		ROPS 16-17		(Non-RPTTF)		RP	IIF	16-17A		(Non-RPTTF)		RPITE		16-17B
Item #	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Execution Date	Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Debt or Obligation	Retired	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	e Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Total
								\$ 15,354,993		\$ 7,407,479	\$ -	\$ 3,230,273	\$ 14,852	\$ 237,584	\$ 125,000		\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ 3,674,770 \$	125,000	
3	998 A Tax Allocation Refunding	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	12/1/1998	8/1/2022	US Bank	Bond issue to fund non-housing projects	Downtown	9,370,016	N	\$ 4,070,294		1,881,906				\$ 1,881,906				2,188,388		\$ 2,188,388
	003 A Tax Allocation Refunding	Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	11/1/2003	8/1/2022	US Bank	Bond issue to fund housing/non-	Downtown	3,901,956	N	\$ 1,753,569		817,456				\$ 817,456				936,113		\$ 936,113
	onds 007 A Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before	8/1/2007	8/1/2022	US Bank	housing projects  Bond issue to fund housing projects	Downtown	1,150,271	N	\$ 867,066		391,797				\$ 391,797				475,269		\$ 475,269
	007 A Tax Allocation Bonds	12/31/10	0/1/2007	0/1/2022	OO Barik	Bond issue to fund flousing projects	Downtown	1,130,271	14	\$ 007,000		351,757				ψ 331,737				473,203		Ψ 475,205
6	Fiscal Agent Fees	Fees	1/1/2014	8/1/2022	US Bank	Annual fiscal agent fees for bond	Downtown	63,000	N	\$ 12,600			12,600			\$ 12,600						\$ -
10	Contract for Continuing Disclosure	Fees	7/18/2008	8/1/2022	Applied Best Practices	issues Annual continuing disclosure for bond	Downtown	6,250	N	\$ 1,250			1,250			\$ 1,250						\$ -
10	ontraction Continuing Disclosure	1 000	7710/2000		Applied Best Fractions	issues	Downtown	0,200	.,	Ψ 1,200			1,200			Ψ 1,200						•
12	Contract for Auditing Services	Admin Costs	5/3/2011	8/1/2022	Lance, Sol & Lunghard	Annual auditing services	Downtown	13,500	N	2,700		1,698	1,002			2,700						
22	imployee/Administrative Costs	Property Maintenance	1/1/2014	6/30/2016	City of Redlands	Management and upkeep of real	Downtown		Υ													
23	imployee/Administrative Costs	Property Dispositions	1/1/2014	6/30/2016	City of Redlands	property  Administration of disposition of real	Downtown		Υ													
						property																
	Consultant Costs Housing Entity Admin Cost	Property Dispositions Fees	1/1/2014 7/1/2014	6/30/2016 7/1/2018	Various Housing Authority of the	Disposition of real property  AB 471 Legislation	Downtown	600,000	N N	\$ - 450,000		137,416		237,584		\$ - 375,000				75,000		\$ - 75,000
					County of San Bernardino							137,410		201,304						73,000		
	dministration Costs	Admin Costs	1/1/2016	8/1/2022	City of Redlands	Successor Agency Administration	Downtown	250,000							125,000	\$ 125,000					125,000	\$ 125,000
41 42				1			+	1	N N							\$ -						\$ -
43									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
44 45				+	+			+	N N							\$ - \$ -				<del>                                     </del>		\$ -
46									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
47 48									N N							\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
48									N N							\$ -						\$ -
50									N							\$ -						\$ -
51 52									N N							\$ - \$ -						\$ -
53									N							\$ -						\$ -
54									N							\$ -						\$ -
56									N N							\$ -						\$ -
57									N							\$ -						\$ -
58 59									N N	\$ -						\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
60									N							\$ -						\$ -
61									N N							\$ -						\$ -
62 63									N N							\$ -						\$ -
64									N							\$ -						\$ -
65 66									N N							\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
67									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
68 69									N							\$ -						\$ -
70								+	N N							\$ -		<u> </u>				\$ -
71									N							\$ -						\$ -
72 73				1			+	1	N N			+				\$ - \$		1	+	1		\$ -
74									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
75 76				+	+		+	+	N N							\$ - \$ -		1	+	<del>                                     </del>		\$ - \$ -
77									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
78 79									N N							\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
80								+	N N							\$ -		<u> </u>				\$ -
81									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
82 83				1	+		+	+	N N			+				\$ - \$ -			+			\$ - \$ -
84									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
85 86							-	1	N N			$\overline{}$				\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
87			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N	\$ -						\$ -		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		\$ -
88	<del></del>								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
89 90				1	+		+	+	N N			+				\$ - \$ -			+			\$ - \$ -
91									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
92 93							-	1	N N			-				\$ -						\$ - \$ -
94				1	<del> </del>		<del> </del>	1	N N			+				\$ - \$ -			+	<del>                                     </del>		\$ -
95									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
96 97				+	+			+	N N							\$ - \$ -		-				\$ - \$ -
98									N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
99 100				1					N N							\$ - \$ -	· · ·					\$ - \$ -
100		<u> </u>	l .	_1	1	ı	1		IN	ψ -				<u> </u>		- ·		I	1	<u>.                                    </u>		Ψ -

### Redlands Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Report of Cash Balances (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see CASH BALANCE TIPS SHEET

or v	when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforcea	ble obligation. I	or tips on how to	o complete the R	eport of Cash Ba	lances Form, s	ee <u>CASH BALAN</u>	CE TIPS SHEET
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
				Fund So				
		Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Of				Other	RPTTF	
	Cook Polongo Information by BORS Poriod	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained	distributed as reserve for future	,	Non-Admin and Admin	Commente
	Cash Balance Information by ROPS Period	12/31/10	or arter 01/01/11	retained	period(s)	interest, etc.	Aumin	Comments
	PS 15-16A Actuals (07/01/15 - 12/31/15) Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/15)	I	T	T	1			Г
'	beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/15)	3,660,746			1,850,233	240,890	115,428	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 12/31/15)	, ,			, ,	,	,	
	RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 15-16A distribution from the County Auditor-Controller during June 2015							
_	,	10,295				16,100	1,182,065	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 15-16A Enforceable Obligations (Actual 12/31/15)							
		60,305			1,782,432	143,040	1,208,381	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 12/31/15)	33,333			1,1 02,102	1 10,0 10	1,200,001	
	RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)							
					67,801		89,112	
5	ROPS 15-16A RPTTF Balances Remaining			No entry required	d			
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance							
	C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$ 3,610,736	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ 113,950	\$ -	
ROF	PS 15-16B Estimate (01/01/16 - 06/30/16)							
7	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 01/01/16)							
	(C, D, E, G = 4 + 6, F = H4 + F4 + F6, and H = 5 + 6)	\$ 3,610,736	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 156,913	\$ 113,950	\$ -	
8	Revenue/Income (Estimate 06/30/16)  RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 15-16B distribution from the							
	County Auditor-Controller during January 2016	50,000					3,545,143	
9	Expenditures for ROPS 15-16B Enforceable Obligations (Estimate 06/30/16)	50,000			67,801	99,098	403,982	
	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Estimate 06/30/16) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)							
11	Ending Estimated Available Cash Balance (7 + 8 - 9 -10)	\$ 3,610,736	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,112	\$ 14,852	\$ 3,141,161	
			-	•			•	•

	Redlands Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Notes July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017									
Item #	Notes/Comments									
12	Previous contract with audit firm ended with the audit of Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2015; a new contract will be put into place with estimated costs of \$2,700 per yr.									
39	Total obligation for ROPS 16-17 = \$450,000 (\$300K from previous ROPS cycles; 150K for this ROPS cycle). Previous entries denied by DOF because the responsible housing entity (Housing Authority of San Bernardino County) has not submitted the Housing Asset Transfer (HAT) list to the DOF. As such, DOF has not allowed the Successor Agency to pay this obligation. It is not known at this time (ROPS 16-17) whether or not the County has submitted the HAT, but the outstanding obligation remains.									

#### REQUEST FOR AGENCY ACTION

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. OB 2016-053 APPROVING THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR THE 12-MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING JULY 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017.

#### **MOTION:**

"I move approval of Resolution No. OB 2016-053."

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff Recommends that the Oversight Board approve Resolution No. OB 2016-053.

#### DISCUSSION:

The Successor Agency Administrative Budget provides funds for activities related to the administration of the Successor Agency. The source of funding for the Successor Agency Administrative Budget is the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (former tax increment revenues) and is capped at \$250,000 per annual reporting period.

#### ATTACHMENT:

A. Resolution No. OB 2016-053

Oversight Board Meeting of 01/21/16
Approval of the Successor Agency Administrative Budget for the 12-month period beginning July 1, 2016.
Page 2 of 2

Respectfully submitted,

MIKE NÉLSON

Economic Development Manager

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

TINA T. KUNDIG

**Finance Director** 

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

JAMÉS GARLAND

Principal Accountant/Internal Auditor

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

Approved for/submission by:

N. ENRIQUE MARTINEZ

Executive Director,

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

DANIEL J. MCHUGH

General Counsel,

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

#### RESOLUTION NO. OB 2016-053

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF REDLANDS APPROVING THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR THE 12-MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING JULY 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017.

(ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET 16-17)

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands ("Redevelopment Agency") was a redevelopment agency in the City of Redlands ("City"), duly created pursuant to the California Community Redevelopment Law (Part 1 (commencing with Section 33000) of Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code) ("Redevelopment Law"); and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency was responsible for the administration of redevelopment activities within the City; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 1X 26 ("AB 26") was signed by the Governor on June 20, 2011 and upheld to be constitutional by the California Supreme Court on December 29, 2011; and

WHEREAS AB 26 made certain changes to the Redevelopment Law including adding Part 1.8 (commencing with Section 24 of the California Health and Safety Code ("Health and Safety Code"); and

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2012, as a result of the Supreme Court's decision, all California redevelopment agencies were dissolved, successor agencies were established as successor agencies to the former redevelopment agencies pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34173, and successor agencies are tasked with paying, performing and enforcing the enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agencies and winding down the affairs of the former redevelopment agencies; and

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2012, the Governor of California signed Assembly Bill 1484 ("AB 1484") into law, making several substantive and technical amendments to AB 26 (AB 26 and AB 1484 together referred to as the "Dissolution Law"); and

WHEREAS, on November 1, 2011, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 7809 declaring itself as the successor agency to the former redevelopment agency of the City of Redlands ("Successor Agency") upon the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179 ("Section 34179") establishes a seven (7) member local entity with respect to each successor agency and such entity is titled the "oversight board." The oversight board has been established for the Successor Agency (hereinafter referred to as the "Oversight Board"). The duties and responsibilities of the Oversight Board are set forth in Health and Safety Code Sections 34179 through 34181; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j) ("Section 34177(j)") requires the Successor Agency to prepare an administrative budget for each six-month fiscal period (now modified to one 12-month period pursuant to HSC section 34177 (o) (1) and submit the administrative budget to the Oversight Board for approval. The administrative budget shall include all of the following: (i) estimated amounts for Successor Agency administrative costs for the upcoming 12-month fiscal period; (ii) proposed sources of payment for Successor Agency administrative costs; and (iii) proposals for arrangements for administrative and operations services provided by the City or other entity; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(k) ("Section 34117(k)") requires the Successor Agency to provide to the San Bernardino County Auditor-Controller for each six-month fiscal period (now modified to one 12-month period pursuant to HSC section 34177 (o) (1) the administrative cost estimates from its approved administrative budget that are to be paid from property tax revenues (i.e. former tax increment revenues) deposited in the County's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund established for the Successor Agency; and

WHEREAS, staff of the Successor Agency seeks the Oversight Board's approval of the administrative budget for the 12-month period beginning July 1, 2016 ("Administrative Budget 16-17"), in the form attached to the Resolution as Exhibit "A," and the Oversight Board's authorization to submit the approved Administrative Budget 16-17 to the Department of Finance for its approval; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this resolution have occurred;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands as follows:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Oversight Board of the Successor Agency hereby finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Successor Agency's Administrative Budget 16-17 that is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is hereby approved and adopted.

Section 3. The Executive Director, or his designee, is hereby authorized and directed to: (i) submit the approved Administrative Budget 16-17 to the Oversight Board for its review and approval; and (ii) submit to the San Bernardino County Auditor-Controller the administrative cost estimates from Administrative Budget 16-17 that are to be paid from property tax revenues deposited in the County's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund established for the Successor Agency; and (iii) take any and all other actions and execute any and all such other documents as are necessary, convenient or appropriate to comply with the Dissolution Law and to effectuate the intent of this Resolution on behalf of the Successor Agency.

<u>Section 4.</u> This Resolution shall take effect at the time and in the manner prescribed by Health and Safety Code section 34179(h).immediately upon its adoption.

Section 6. The Secretary shall certify as to the adoption of this Resolution.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Redlands held this 21<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2016 by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Paol W. Foster, Chairperson

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency

ATTEST:

Linda McCasland

Oversight Board Secretary

## EXHIBIT "A" Successor Agency Administrative Budget 16-17 July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Administrative Costs	250,000
Total	250,000

Source of Funding: Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund